

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,596	11/21/2003	Ayae Endo	117625	8683
25944 7590 10082008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850			EXAMINER	
			GARRETT, DAWN L	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/717.596 ENDO ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Dawn Garrett 1794 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 29.38.41 and 42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 29,38,41 and 42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/717,596 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- This Office action is responsive to the amendment filed June 20, 2008. Claims 1-28, 30-37, 39, 40, and 43 are cancelled. Claims 29 and 41 were amended. Claims 29, 38, 41, and 42 are pending.
- The objections to claim 41 set forth in the last Office action (mailed April 4, 2008) are withdrawn due to the amendment.
- The rejection of claims 29, 38, and 41-43 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Codama (US 6,091,196) set forth in the last Office action, paragraph 7, is withdrawn due to the amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action;
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 29, 38, 41, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirai (US 2001/0028962 A1).

Hirai discloses a light-emitting apparatus comprising an organic light-emitting device (see abstract). The organic light emitting device comprises a positive electrode on a substrate (see par. 34) with respect to the "substrate" and the "pixel electrode" of claim 29. A light emitting layer is disposed between the positive and the negative electrode (cathode) with respect to the "luminescent layer" and the "cathode" of claim 29 (see par. 25). Further organic

Art Unit: 1794

compound layers are included such as an electron transporting layer and a hole-injecting layer (see par. 27) per the "metal deactivator layer" between the luminescent layer and the cathode and the "hole injection layer" of claim 29. The electron transporting layer of a device is located between a light emitting layer and the cathode (see example, par. 54). The electron transporting layer may comprise a triazole derivative (see par. 44). A sealing layer is formed on the device to prevent permeation of moisture (see par. 48). Although Hirai does not exemplify a device having a triazole derivative as the electron transporting layer material, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have selected a triazole derivative as the electron transporting material with the expectation of achieving a well-performing device as taught by Hirai, because Hirai teaches triazole derivatives are suitable as the electron transporting layer.

With respect to claim 38, the devices are part of an electronic apparatus (see par. 24-26).

With respect to claim 41, Hirai teaches the sealing layer may include organic polymeric material and may include SiO₂ (see par. 48). Although Hirai does not exemplify a device having both a sealing layer of organic polymeric moisture resistant material and SiO₂. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have included both a layer of moisture resistant polymeric material and a layer of SiO₂ together, because one would expect two layers of suitable sealing layer material to provide the same or better property of protection for the light emitting device compared to using only one layer. Furthermore, mere duplication of parts (i.e., sealing layers) has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced (see MPEP 2144.04).

Art Unit: 1794

With respect to claim 42, since Hirai teaches the sealing layer may have a moistureresistant property (see par. 48), the layer is considered to have an ink-repellent property.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dawn Garrett whose telephone number is (571) 272-1523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday. Art Unit: 1794

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dawn Garrett/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794